



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/540,336

01/10/2006

Cornelis Marius Timmers

2002.750US

8846

67706

7590

05/04/2009

ORGANON USA, INC.

c/o Schering-Plough Corporation

2000 Galloping Hill Road

Mail Stop: K-6-1, 1990

Kenilworth, NJ 07033

EXAMINER

O DELL, DAVID K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1625

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/04/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jill.corcoran@spcorp.com

patents@spcorp.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/540,336	Applicant(s) TIMMERS ET AL.	
	Examiner David K. O'Dell	Art Unit 1625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David K. O'Dell. (3) William Deweerd.

(2) Susan Hess. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: April 28, 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4-7 and 9-13.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Hess and Mr. Deweerd called to discuss the enablement rejection of record. No definitive conclusion was reached but the examiner suggested that a narrowing of the compound claims would obviate the rejection..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/David K. O'Dell/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625	
--	--